## BEFORE THE KAIPARA DISTRICT COUNCIL PC78 HEARING PANEL

UNDER The Resource Management Act

1991

IN THE MATTER OF Private Plan Change 78 (PC78) to the

Operative Kaipara District Plan

# SUMMARY STATEMENT OF 3 WATERS AND NATURAL HAZARDS (FLOODING) EVIDENCE OF STEVEN BRENT RANKIN

ON BEHALF OF KAIPARA DISTRICT COUNCIL

**22 JANUARY 2021** 

### 1. INTRODUCTION

- 1.1 My full name is Steven Brent Rankin. I have been engaged by Kaipara District Council (**The Council**) to prepare and present evidence relating to the consideration of Private Plan Change 78 (**PC78**).
- 1.2 I am a Director and Principal Civil Environmental Engineer at Chester Consultants Ltd.
- 1.3 I am a Chartered Professional Engineer and a member of Engineering New Zealand (ENZ) as a Professional Member. I hold a Bachelor of Engineering (Environmental). I have been practising as an engineer since 2006 throughout the Auckland region and the wider pacific.
- 1.4 The purpose of this statement is to highlight areas of agreement and disagreement with regard to other experts in my field relating to PC78. These experts are:
  - (a) James Stuart Dufty (Engineering) on behalf of the Applicant
  - (b) Allan Thomas Leahy (Stormwater) on behalf of the Applicant
  - (c) Jon Williamson (Water Supply) on behalf of the Applicant

# 2. AREAS OF AGREEMENT

- 2.1 Statement of Evidence of James Stuart Dufty (6 November 2020)
  - (a) I agree with the with the Statement of Evidence and the conclusions made within my area of expertise.
- 2.2 Statement of Supplementary Evidence of James Stuart Dufty (18 December 2020)
  - (a) I agree with the with the Statement of Evidence and the conclusions made within my area of expertise.
- 2.3 Statement of Evidence of Allan Leahy (6 November 2020)
  - (a) I agree with the with the Statement of Evidence and the conclusions made within my area of expertise.
- 2.4 Statement of Evidence of Jon Williamson (6 November 2020)
  - (a) I agree with Mr Williamson that an engineering solution exists with respect to water supply.
- 2.5 Statement of Supplementary Evidence of Jon Williamson (18 December 2020)

(a) I agree with Mr Williamson that an engineering solution exists with respect to water supply.

## 3. AREAS OF DISAGREEMENT / STILL IN CONTENTION

3.1 There is no area of disagreement or contention between myself and the other experts with respect to my area of expertise.

### 4. CONCLUSION

4.1 Upon review of the applicant's evidence in chief and supplementary and a review of the submissions my opinion remains the same that Private Plan Change be Approved.

Steven Rankin

BE(Env) CPEng CMEngNZ IntPE(NZ) MFIE (Fiji)